



5. Connections to Streisinger are shown on all floors, including across the roof level to Klamath. The connection to Deschutes is likely to occur only at the second floor due to the challenge of different floor heights; a third floor connection would result in the loss of some assigned program area in LISB. The Streisinger roof connection is still being studied and recent findings show it may be a challenge. This will be part of the cost estimate.
6. The bench lab layouts are still shown generically. Two bays / 4 lab modules remain as placeholders for the Informatics program area.
7. Becca noted that the upcoming meetings will include a review of the allocated private offices for staff, faculty and students. If there is too much office space, informatics could be moved to the south side. Users were asked to validate the number of offices for faculty and post-docs.
8. Thom reviewed the site plan and showed a pair of images of the overall building massing. He emphasized that these are NOT intended to imply any building architecture or appearance, but rather show the scale and siting of the building. The building facade will be brick.
9. Thom showed some interior images that begin to convey a sense of space (not architecture). The skylight over the atrium is being studied; the images show a series of alternating skylights that bring both south and north light into the space. A study is underway to calculate foot candle availability in the interior spaces.
10. A series of conference rooms are shown at the east end of the atrium; glass walls could allow views of the Grand Oaks to be enjoyed from the atrium. Smaller conference rooms are located on the north side of the south bar, in shifting locations. These project slightly into the atrium, and glass walls would allow daylight to be borrowed from the atrium into the office corridor.
11. The group agreed that a café is desirable. Feasibility and UO permission is TBD. Other options may include a kitchenette area that could also support the conference rooms and the public space for events, or perhaps a coffee cart. Scheduled operation times might bring scientist together in an informal way. Many faculty cross Franklin to the coffee shop on the north side.
12. Informatics: Helen noted that Cog/Neuro does its own Informatics. Chuck noted that the program identifies 500 SF for the visualization lab; both conference rooms shown are smaller. This will be studied in more detail with the Informatics User Group.
13. Specialist consultants coming on board: RFI/EMI, Vibration, and Wind studies.
14. Design team has committed to identify existing rooms to compare to proposed rooms with respect to area.
15. Chuck asked Mat/Phy for clarity about technical design goals, noting that you can't design for an open ended future. Mike noted that pinning the group down on this issue will be a challenge.
16. The design team will be meeting with the Campus Planning Committee (CPC) to address a number of sensitive issues expressed at the beginning of the planning process. Both Thom and Chuck noted that the various external pressures that are driving this building arrangement on its site, as well as internal performance requirements, are particularly complex.
17. Meeting adjourned at 11:20.

END OF NOTES

NOTE: Attention Attendees! Please review these notes carefully as they will form the basis of future work on this project. If you feel that anything is incorrect or incomplete, please call the author at 503-227-1254.